

# A GIAMBELLI FORMULA FOR THE $S^1$ -EQUIVARIANT COHOMOLOGY OF TYPE $A$ PETERSON VARIETIES

DARIUS BAYEGAN AND MEGUMI HARADA

**ABSTRACT.** The main result of this note is a **Giambelli formula** for the Peterson Schubert classes in the  $S^1$ -equivariant cohomology ring of a type  $A$  Peterson variety. Our results depend on the Monk formula for the equivariant structure constants for the Peterson Schubert classes derived by Harada and Tymoczko. In addition, we give proofs of two facts observed by H. Naruse: firstly, that some constants which appear in the multiplicative structure of the  $S^1$ -equivariant cohomology of Peterson varieties are **Stirling numbers of the second kind**, and secondly, that the Peterson Schubert classes satisfy a **stability property** in a sense analogous to the stability of the classical equivariant Schubert classes in the  $T$ -equivariant cohomology of the flag variety.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Peterson varieties and $S^1$ -fixed points	2
3. The Giambelli formula for Peterson varieties	3
4. Stirling numbers of the second kind	10
5. Stability of Peterson Schubert classes	11
References	12

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The main result of this note is a **Giambelli formula** in the  $S^1$ -equivariant cohomology<sup>1</sup> of type  $A$  Peterson varieties. Specifically, we give an explicit formula which expresses an arbitrary **Peterson Schubert class** in terms of the degree-2 Peterson Schubert classes. We call this a “Giambelli formula” by analogy with the standard Giambelli formula in classical Schubert calculus [5] which expresses an arbitrary Schubert class in terms of degree-2 Schubert classes.

We briefly recall the setting of our results. **Peterson varieties** in type  $A$  can be defined as the following subvariety  $Y$  of  $\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n)$ :

$$(1.1) \quad Y := \{V_\bullet = (0 \subseteq V_1 \subseteq V_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V_{n-1} \subseteq V_n = \mathbb{C}^n) \mid NV_i \subseteq V_{i+1} \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n-1\}$$

where  $N : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  denotes the principal nilpotent operator. These varieties have been much studied due to its relation to the quantum cohomology of the flag variety [10, 11]. Thus it is natural to study their topology, e.g. the structure of their (equivariant) cohomology rings. We do so through Schubert calculus techniques. Our results extend techniques initiated and developed in [7, 8], to which we refer the reader for further details and motivation.

There is a natural circle subgroup of  $U(n, \mathbb{C})$  which acts on  $Y$  (recalled in Section 2). The inclusion of  $Y$  into  $\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n)$  induces a natural ring homomorphism

$$(1.2) \quad H_T^*(\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n)) \rightarrow H_{S^1}^*(Y)$$

where  $T$  is the subgroup of diagonal matrices of  $U(n, \mathbb{C})$  acting in the usual way on  $\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . One of the main results of [7] is that a certain subset of the equivariant Schubert classes  $\{\sigma_w\}_{w \in S_n}$  in  $H_T^*(\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n))$

*Date:* June 19, 2012.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 14N15; Secondary: 55N91.

The second author is partially supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant, an NSERC University Faculty Award, and an Ontario Ministry of Research and Innovation Early Researcher Award.

<sup>1</sup>In this note, all cohomology rings are with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

maps under the projection (1.2) to a computationally convenient module basis of  $H_{S^1}^*(Y)$ . We refer to the images via (1.2) of  $\{\sigma_w\}_{w \in S_n}$  in  $H_{S^1}^*(Y)$  as **Peterson Schubert classes**. Moreover, [7, Theorem 6.12] gives a manifestly positive **Monk formula** for the product of a degree-2 Peterson Schubert class with an arbitrary Peterson Schubert class, expressed as a  $H_{S^1}^*(\text{pt})$ -linear combination of Peterson Schubert classes. This is an example of equivariant Schubert calculus in the realm of Hessenberg varieties (of which Peterson varieties are a special case), and we view the Giambelli formula (Theorem 3.2) as a further development of this theory. The Giambelli formula for Peterson varieties was also independently observed by H. Naruse.

Our Giambelli formula also allows us to simplify the presentation of the ring  $H_{S^1}^*(Y)$  given in [7, Section 6]. This is because the previous presentation used as its generators all of the elements in the module basis given by Peterson Schubert classes, although the ring  $H_{S^1}^*(Y)$  is multiplicatively generated by only the degree-2 Peterson Schubert classes. Details are explained in Section 3.2 below, where we also give a concrete example in  $n = 4$  to illustrate our results. We also formulate a conjecture (cf. Remark 3.12), suggested to us by the referee of this manuscript, that the ideal of defining relations is in fact generated by the quadratic relations only. If true, this would be a significant further simplification of the presentation of this ring and would lead to interesting further questions (both combinatorial and geometric).

In Sections 4 and 5, we present proofs of two facts concerning Peterson Schubert classes which we learned from H. Naruse. The results are due to Naruse but the proofs given here are our own. We chose to include these results because they do not appear elsewhere in the literature. The first fact is that **Stirling numbers of the second kind** (see Section 4 for the definition) appear naturally in the product structure of  $H_{S^1}^*(Y)$ . The second is that the Peterson Schubert classes satisfy a **stability condition** with respect to the natural inclusions of Peterson varieties induced from the inclusions  $\text{Flags}(\mathbb{C}^n) \hookrightarrow \text{Flags}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$ .

**Acknowledgements.** We are grateful to Hiroshi Naruse for communicating to us his observations on the stability of Peterson Schubert classes and the presence of Stirling numbers of the second kind. We thank Julianna Tymoczko for support of and interest in this project and Alex Yong for useful conversations. We also thank an anonymous referee for a careful reading of the manuscript and many helpful suggestions; in particular, the referee suggested the conjecture regarding quadratic relations recorded in Remark 3.12, as well as the question which we record in Remark 3.4.

## 2. PETERSON VARIETIES AND $S^1$ -FIXED POINTS

In this section we briefly recall the objects under study. For details we refer the reader to [7]. Since we work exclusively in Lie type  $A$  we henceforth omit it from our terminology.

By the **flag variety** we mean the homogeneous space  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})/B$  where  $B$  is the standard Borel subgroup of upper-triangular invertible matrices. The flag variety can also be identified with the space of nested subspaces in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , i.e.,

$$\text{Flags}(\mathbb{C}^n) := \{V_\bullet = (\{0\} \subseteq V_1 \subseteq V_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V_{n-1} \subseteq V_n = \mathbb{C}^n) \mid \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V_i) = i\} \cong GL(n, \mathbb{C})/B.$$

Let  $N$  be the  $n \times n$  principal nilpotent operator given with respect to the standard basis of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  as the matrix with one  $n \times n$  Jordan block of eigenvalue 0, i.e.,

$$(2.1) \quad N = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Fix  $n$  a positive integer. The main geometric object under study, the **Peterson variety**  $Y$ , is the subvariety of  $\text{Flags}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  defined in (1.1) where  $N$  is the standard principal nilpotent in (2.1). The variety  $Y$  is a (singular) projective variety of complex dimension  $n - 1$ .

We recall some facts from [7]. The following circle subgroup of  $U(n, \mathbb{C})$  preserves  $Y$ :

$$(2.2) \quad S^1 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} t^n & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & t^{n-1} & & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & t \end{bmatrix} \mid t \in \mathbb{C}, \|t\| = 1 \right\} \subseteq T^n \subseteq U(n, \mathbb{C}).$$

Here  $T^n$  is the standard maximal torus of  $U(n, \mathbb{C})$  consisting of diagonal unitary matrices. The  $S^1$ -fixed points of  $Y$  are isolated and are a subset of the  $T^n$ -fixed points of  $\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . As is standard, we identify the  $T^n$ -fixed points in  $\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n)$  with the permutations  $S_n$ . In particular since  $Y^{S^1}$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n)^{T^n}$ , we think of the Peterson fixed points as permutations in  $S_n$ . There is a natural bijective correspondence between the Peterson fixed points  $Y^{S^1}$  and subsets of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  which we now briefly recall. It is explained in [7, Section 2.3] that a permutation  $w \in S_n$  is in  $Y^{S^1}$  precisely when the one-line notation of  $w^{-1}$  is of the form

$$(2.3) \quad w^{-1} = \underbrace{j_1 j_1 - 1 \cdots 1}_{j_1 \text{ entries}} \underbrace{j_2 j_2 - 1 \cdots j_1 + 1}_{j_2 - j_1 \text{ entries}} \cdots \underbrace{n n - 1 \cdots j_m + 1}_{n - j_m \text{ entries}}$$

where  $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_m < n$  is any sequence of strictly increasing integers. For example, for  $n = 9, m = 2$  and  $j_1 = 3, j_2 = 7$ , then the permutation  $w^{-1}$  in (2.3) has one-line notation 321765498. Thus for each permutation  $w \in S_n$  satisfying (2.3) we define

$$\mathcal{A} := \{i : w^{-1}(i) = w^{-1}(i+1) + 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}.$$

This gives a one-to-one correspondence between the power set of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  and  $Y^{S^1}$ . We denote the Peterson fixed point corresponding to a subset  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  by  $w_{\mathcal{A}}$ .

**Example 2.1.** Let  $n = 5$  and suppose  $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2, 4\}$ . Then the associated permutation is  $w_{\mathcal{A}} = 32154$ .

Indeed, for a fixed  $n$ , we can also easily enumerate all the Peterson fixed points by using this correspondence.

**Example 2.2.** Let  $n = 4$ . Then  $Y^{S^1}$  consists of  $2^3 = 8$  elements in correspondence with the subsets of  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ , namely:  $w_{\emptyset} = 1234, w_{\{1\}} = 2134, w_{\{2\}} = 1324, w_{\{3\}} = 1243, w_{\{1,2\}} = 3214, w_{\{2,3\}} = 1432, w_{\{1,3\}} = 2143, w_{\{1,2,3\}} = 4321$ .

Given a choice of subset  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ , there is a natural decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}$  as follows. We say that a set of consecutive integers

$$\{a, a+1, \dots, a+k\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$$

is a **maximal consecutive (sub)string** of  $\mathcal{A}$  if  $a$  and  $k$  are such that neither  $a-1$  nor  $a+k+1$  is in  $\mathcal{A}$ . For  $a_1 := a$  and  $a_2 := a_1 + k$ , we denote the corresponding maximal consecutive substring by  $[a_1, a_2]$ . It is straightforward to see that any  $\mathcal{A}$  uniquely decomposes into a disjoint union of maximal consecutive substrings

$$\mathcal{A} = [a_1, a_2] \cup [a_3, a_4] \cup \cdots \cup [a_{m-1}, a_m].$$

For instance, if  $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8\}$ , then its decomposition into maximal consecutive substrings is  $\{1, 2, 3\} \cup \{5, 6\} \cup \{8\} = [1, 3] \cup [5, 6] \cup [8, 8]$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{A} = \{j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_m\}$ . Finally we recall that we can associate to each  $w_{\mathcal{A}}$  a permutation  $v_{\mathcal{A}}$  by the recipe

$$(2.4) \quad w_{\mathcal{A}} \mapsto v_{\mathcal{A}} := s_{j_1} s_{j_2} \cdots s_{j_m}$$

where an  $s_i$  denotes the simple transposition  $(i, i+1)$  in  $S_n$ .

### 3. THE GIAMBELLI FORMULA FOR PETERSON VARIETIES

**3.1. The Giambelli formula.** In this section we prove the main result of this note, namely, a **Giambelli formula for Peterson varieties**.

As recalled above, the Peterson variety  $Y$  is an  $S^1$ -space for a subtorus  $S^1$  of  $T^n$  and it can be checked that  $Y^{S^1} = (\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n))^{T^n} \cap Y$ . There is a forgetful map from  $T^n$ -equivariant cohomology to  $S^1$ -equivariant cohomology obtained by the inclusion  $S^1 \hookrightarrow T$ , so there is a commutative diagram

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H_{T^n}^*(\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n)) & \longrightarrow & H_{T^n}^*((\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n))^{T^n}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{S^1}^*(\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n)) & \longrightarrow & H_{S^1}^*((\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n))^{T^n}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{S^1}^*(Y) & \longrightarrow & H_{S^1}^*(Y^{S^1}). \end{array}$$

The **equivariant Schubert classes**  $\{\sigma_w\}$  in  $H_{T^n}^*(\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n))$  are well-known to form a  $H_{T^n}^*(\text{pt})$ -module basis for  $H_{T^n}^*(\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n))$ . We call the image of  $\sigma_w$  under the projection map  $H_{T^n}^*(\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n)) \rightarrow H_{S^1}^*(Y)$  the **Peterson Schubert class corresponding to  $w$** . For the permutations  $v_A$  defined in (2.4), we denote by  $p_A$  the corresponding Peterson Schubert class, i.e. the image of  $\sigma_{v_A}$ . (This is slightly different notation from that used in [7].) We denote by  $p_A(w) \in H_{S^1}^*(\text{pt}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]$  the restriction of the Peterson Schubert class  $p_A$  to the fixed point  $w \in Y^{S^1}$ .

One of the main results of [7] is that the set of  $2^{n-1}$  Peterson Schubert classes  $\{p_A\}_{A \subseteq \{1,2,\dots,n-1\}}$  form a  $H_{S^1}^*(\text{pt})$ -module basis for  $H_{S^1}^*(Y)$  where  $v_A$  is defined in (2.4). (The fact that  $H_{S^1}^*(Y)$  is a free module of rank  $2^{n-1}$  over  $H_{S^1}^*(\text{pt})$  fits nicely with the result [13, Theorem 10.2] that the Poincaré polynomial of  $Y$  is given by  $(q^2 + 1)^{n-1}$ .) It is also shown in [7] that the  $n - 1$  degree-2 classes  $\{p_i := p_{s_i}\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$  form a multiplicative set of generators for  $H_{S^1}^*(Y)$ . These classes  $p_i$  are also (equivariant) Chern classes of certain line bundles over  $Y$ . Moreover, there is a **Monk formula** [7, Theorem 6.12] which expresses a product

$$p_i p_A$$

for any  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  and any  $A \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  as a  $H_{S^1}^*(\text{pt})$ -linear combination of the additive module basis  $\{p_A\}$ . Since the  $p_i$  multiplicatively generate the ring, this Monk formula completely determines the ring structure of  $H_{S^1}^*(Y)$ . Furthermore it is in principle possible to express any  $p_A$  in terms of the  $p_i$ . Our Giambelli formula is an explicit formula which achieves this (cf. for example [5] for the version in classical Schubert calculus).

We begin by recalling the Monk formula, for which we need some terminology. Fix  $A \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ . We define  $\mathcal{H}_A : A \rightarrow A$  by

$$\mathcal{H}_A(j) = \text{the maximal element in the maximal consecutive substring of } A \text{ containing } j.$$

Similarly, we define  $\mathcal{T}_A : A \rightarrow A$  by

$$\mathcal{T}_A(j) = \text{the minimal element in the maximal consecutive substring of } A \text{ containing } j.$$

We say that the maps  $\mathcal{H}_A$  and  $\mathcal{T}_A$  give the “head” and “tail” of each maximal consecutive substring of  $A$ . For an example see [7, Example 5.6]. We recall the following.

**Theorem 3.1. “The Monk formula for Peterson varieties” ([7, Theorem 6.12])** Fix  $n$  a positive integer. Let  $Y$  be the Peterson variety in  $\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n)$  with the natural  $S^1$ -action defined by (2.2). For  $A \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ , let  $v_A \in S_n$  be the permutation in (2.4), and let  $p_A$  be the corresponding Peterson Schubert class in  $H_{S^1}^*(Y)$ . Then

$$(3.2) \quad p_i \cdot p_A = p_i(w_A) \cdot p_A + \sum_{A \subsetneq B \text{ and } |B|=|A|+1} c_{i,A}^B \cdot p_B,$$

where, for a subset  $B \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  which is a disjoint union  $B = A \cup \{k\}$ ,

- if  $i \notin B$  then  $c_{i,A}^B = 0$ ,
- if  $i \in B$  and  $i \notin [\mathcal{T}_B(k), \mathcal{H}_B(k)]$ , then  $c_{i,A}^B = 0$ ,
- if  $k \leq i \leq \mathcal{H}_B(k)$ , then

$$(3.3) \quad c_{i,A}^B = (\mathcal{H}_B(k) - i + 1) \cdot \binom{\mathcal{H}_B(k) - \mathcal{T}_B(k) + 1}{k - \mathcal{T}_B(k)},$$

- if  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(k) \leq i \leq k-1$ ,

$$(3.4) \quad c_{i,\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}} = (i - \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(k) + 1) \cdot \binom{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}(k) - \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(k) + 1}{k - \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(k) + 1}.$$

We also recall that [7, Lemma 6.7] implies that if  $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}'$  are two disjoint subsets of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  such that there is no  $i$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $j$  in  $\mathcal{B}'$  with  $|i - j| = 1$ , then  $p_{\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{B}'} = p_{\mathcal{B}} p_{\mathcal{B}'}$ . It follows that for any  $\mathcal{A}$  we have

$$(3.5) \quad p_{\mathcal{A}} = p_{[a_1, a_2]} \cdot p_{[a_3, a_4]} \cdots p_{[a_{m-1}, a_m]}$$

where  $\mathcal{A} = [a_1, a_2] \cup [a_3, a_4] \cup \cdots \cup [a_{m-1}, a_m]$  is the decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}$  into maximal consecutive substrings. In particular, in order to give an expression for  $p_{\mathcal{A}}$  in terms of the elements  $p_i$ , from (3.5) we see that it suffices to give a formula only for the special case in which  $\mathcal{A}$  consists of a single maximal consecutive string.

We now state and prove our Giambelli formula.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Fix  $n$  a positive integer. Let  $Y$  be the Peterson variety in  $\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n)$  with the  $S^1$ -action defined by (2.2). Suppose  $\mathcal{A} = \{a, a+1, a+2, \dots, a+k\}$  where  $1 \leq a \leq n-1$  and  $0 \leq k \leq n-1-a$ . Let  $v_{\mathcal{A}}$  be the permutation corresponding to  $\mathcal{A}$  defined in (2.4) and let  $p_{\mathcal{A}}$  be the associated Peterson Schubert class. Then*

$$p_{\mathcal{A}} = \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \prod_{j \in \mathcal{A}} p_j.$$

We use the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Suppose  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  and  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ . Suppose further that  $i \notin \mathcal{A}$ . Then the Monk relation*

$$p_i \cdot p_{\mathcal{A}} = p_i(w_{\mathcal{A}}) \cdot p_{\mathcal{A}} + \sum_{\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B} \text{ and } |\mathcal{B}| = |\mathcal{A}| + 1} c_{i,\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}} \cdot p_{\mathcal{B}}$$

simplifies to

$$(3.6) \quad p_i \cdot p_{\mathcal{A}} = c_{i,\mathcal{A}}^{A \cup \{i\}} \cdot p_{\mathcal{A} \cup \{i\}}.$$

*Proof.* First observe that the Monk relation simplifies to

$$(3.7) \quad p_i \cdot p_{\mathcal{A}} = \sum_{\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B} \text{ and } |\mathcal{B}| = |\mathcal{A}| + 1} c_{i,\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}} \cdot p_{\mathcal{B}}$$

if  $i \notin \mathcal{A}$ , since in that case  $p_i(w_{\mathcal{A}}) = 0$  by [7, Lemma 6.4]. Moreover, from Theorem 3.1 we also know that  $c_{i,\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}} = 0$  if  $i \notin \mathcal{B}$ . Hence the summands appearing in (3.7) correspond to  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfying  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ ,  $|\mathcal{B}| = |\mathcal{A}| + 1$ , and  $i \in \mathcal{B}$ . On the other hand, since  $i \notin \mathcal{A}$  by assumption, this means that there is only one non-zero summand in the right hand side of (3.7), namely, the term corresponding to  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \cup \{i\}$ . The equation (3.6) follows.  $\square$

We now prove the main theorem.

*Proof of Theorem 3.2.* We proceed by induction on  $k$ . First consider the base case where  $k = 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{A} = \{a\}$ , so  $p_{v_{\mathcal{A}}} = p_a$ . On the right hand side, we have  $\frac{1}{(0+1)!} \prod_{j \in \mathcal{A}} p_j = p_a$ . This verifies the base case.

By induction, suppose the claim holds for  $k-1$ . We now show that the claim holds for  $k$ . Consider  $\mathcal{A}' := \{a, a+1, \dots, a+k-1\}$  and consider the product  $p_{a+k} \cdot p_{\mathcal{A}'}$ . From the Monk formula in Theorem 3.1 we know that

$$(3.8) \quad p_{a+k} \cdot p_{\mathcal{A}'} = p_{a+k}(w_{\mathcal{A}'}) \cdot p_{\mathcal{A}'} + \sum_{\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{B} \text{ and } |\mathcal{B}| = |\mathcal{A}'| + 1} c_{a+k,\mathcal{A}'}^{\mathcal{B}} \cdot p_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

On the other hand since by definition  $a+k \notin \mathcal{A}'$ , by Lemma 3.3 the equality (3.8) further simplifies to

$$p_{a+k} \cdot p_{\mathcal{A}'} = c_{a+k,\mathcal{A}'}^{\mathcal{A}} \cdot p_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

Moreover, since  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}' \cup \{a+k\}$ , we have  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(a+k) = a+k$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}}(a+k) = a$ . Hence by Theorem 3.1

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_{a+k, \mathcal{A}'}^{\mathcal{A}} &= (\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(a+k) - (a+k) + 1) \binom{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(a+k) - \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}}(a+k) + 1}{(a+k) - \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}}(a+k)} \\
 (3.9) \quad &= ((a+k) - (a+k) + 1) \binom{a+k-a+1}{(a+k)-a} \\
 &= k+1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$p_{a+k} \cdot p_{\mathcal{A}'} = (k+1) \cdot p_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

By the inductive hypothesis we have for the set  $\mathcal{A}' = \{a, a+1, \dots, a+k-1\}$

$$p_{\mathcal{A}'} = \frac{1}{k!} \prod_{j \in \mathcal{A}'} p_j.$$

Substituting into the above equation yields

$$p_{\mathcal{A}} = \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \prod_{j \in \mathcal{A}} p_j$$

as desired. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** We thank the referee for the following observation. The formula in Theorem 3.2 suggests that the classes  $p_i$  behave like a normal crossings divisor (up to quotient singularities), with all other classes arising (up to rational coefficients) as intersections of the components. It would certainly be of interest to understand more precisely the underlying geometry which gives rise not only to the Giambelli relation in Theorem 3.2 but also to the original Monk formula [7, Theorem 6.12].

From Theorem 3.2 it immediately follows that for any subset

$$\mathcal{A} = [a_1, a_2] \cup [a_3, a_4] \cup \dots \cup [a_{m-1}, a_m]$$

with its decomposition into maximal consecutive substrings, we have

$$(3.10) \quad p_{\mathcal{A}} = \frac{1}{(a_2 - a_1 + 1)!} \cdot \frac{1}{(a_4 - a_3 + 1)!} \cdots \frac{1}{(a_m - a_{m-1} + 1)!} \prod_{j \in \mathcal{A}} p_j.$$

For the purposes of the next section we introduce the notation

$$(3.11) \quad \sigma(\mathcal{A}) := \frac{1}{(a_2 - a_1 + 1)!} \cdot \frac{1}{(a_4 - a_3 + 1)!} \cdots \frac{1}{(a_m - a_{m-1} + 1)!}$$

for the rational coefficient appearing in (3.10). The following is an immediate corollary of this discussion.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let*

$$\mathcal{A} = [a_1, a_2] \cup [a_3, a_4] \cup \dots \cup [a_{m-1}, a_m].$$

*Then*

$$p_{\mathcal{A}} = \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \prod_{j \in \mathcal{A}} p_j.$$

**3.2. Simplification of the Monk relations.** In this section we explain how to use the Giambelli formula to simplify the ring presentation of  $H_{S^1}^*(Y)$  given in [7, Section 6]. Recall that the Peterson Schubert classes  $\{p_{\mathcal{A}}\}$  form an additive module basis for  $H_{S^1}^*(Y)$  and the degree 2 classes  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$  form a multiplicative basis, so the Monk relations give a presentation of the ring  $H_{S^1}^*(Y)$  via generators and relations as follows.

**Theorem 3.6.** ([7, Corollary 6.14]) *Fix  $n$  a positive integer. Let  $Y$  be the Peterson variety in  $\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n)$  with the  $S^1$ -action defined by (2.2). For  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ , let  $v_{\mathcal{A}} \in S_n$  be the permutation given in (2.4), and let  $p_{\mathcal{A}}$  be the corresponding Peterson Schubert class in  $H_{S^1}^*(Y)$ . Let  $t \in H_{S^1}^*(\text{pt}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]$  denote both the generator of  $H_{S^1}^*(\text{pt})$  and its image  $t \in H_{S^1}^*(Y)$ . Then the  $S^1$ -equivariant cohomology  $H_{S^1}^*(Y)$  is given by*

$$H_{S^1}^*(Y) \cong \mathbb{C}[t, \{p_{\mathcal{A}}\}_{\mathcal{A} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}}] / \mathcal{J}$$

where  $\mathcal{J}$  is the ideal generated by the relations (3.2).



In order to state the main result of this section we introduce some notation. For  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  and  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  define

$$m_{i,\mathcal{A}} := p_i \cdot p_{\mathcal{A}} - p_i(w_{\mathcal{A}}) \cdot p_{\mathcal{A}} - \sum_{\mathcal{A} \subsetneq \mathcal{B} \text{ and } |\mathcal{B}|=|\mathcal{A}|+1} c_{i,\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}} \cdot p_{\mathcal{B}}$$

thought of as an element in  $\mathbb{C}[t, \{p_{\mathcal{A}}\}_{\mathcal{A} \subseteq \{1,2,\dots,n-1\}}]$  where the  $c_{i,\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}} \in \mathbb{C}[t]$  are the coefficients computed in Theorem 3.1. Motivated by the Giambelli formula we also define the following elements in  $\mathbb{C}[t, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-1}]$ :

$$q_{i,\mathcal{A}} := p_i \cdot \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \cdot \left( \prod_{j \in \mathcal{A}} p_j \right) - p_i(w_{\mathcal{A}}) \cdot \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \cdot \left( \prod_{j \in \mathcal{A}} p_j \right) - \sum_{\mathcal{A} \subsetneq \mathcal{B} \text{ and } |\mathcal{B}|=|\mathcal{A}|+1} c_{i,\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}} \cdot \sigma(\mathcal{B}) \left( \prod_{k \in \mathcal{B}} p_k \right)$$

where the  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \in \mathbb{Q}$  is the constant defined in (3.11).

**Example 3.7.** Let  $n = 4$  and  $i = 1$  and  $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2\}$ . Consider

$$m_{1,\{1,2\}} = p_1 p_{v_{\{1,2\}}} - 2t p_{v_{\{1,2\}}} + p_{v_{\{1,2,3\}}}.$$

Expanding in terms of the Giambelli formula, we obtain

$$q_{1,\{1,2\}} = \frac{1}{2} p_1^2 p_2 - 2t \cdot \left( \frac{1}{2} p_1 p_2 \right) + \frac{1}{6} p_1 p_2 p_3 = t p_1 p_2 + \frac{1}{6} p_1 p_2 p_3.$$

The main theorem of this section gives a ring presentation of  $H_{S^1}^*(Y)$  using fewer generators and fewer relations than that in Theorem 3.6. More specifically let  $\mathcal{K}$  denote the ideal in  $\mathbb{C}[t, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}]$  generated by the  $q_{i,\mathcal{A}}$  for which  $i \notin \mathcal{A}$ , i.e.,

$$(3.12) \quad \mathcal{K} := \left\langle q_{i,\mathcal{A}} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \mathcal{A} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}, i \notin \mathcal{A} \right\rangle \subseteq \mathbb{C}[t, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}].$$

We have the following.

**Theorem 3.8.** Fix  $n$  a positive integer. Let  $Y$  be the Peterson variety in  $\text{Flags}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  equipped with the action of the  $S^1$  in (2.2). Then the  $S^1$ -equivariant cohomology  $H_{S^1}^*(Y)$  is isomorphic to the ring

$$\mathbb{C}[t, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-1}] / \mathcal{K}$$

where  $\mathcal{K}$  is the ideal in (3.12).

To prove the theorem we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.9.** Let  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  and  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ . Suppose  $i \notin \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $q_{i,\mathcal{A}} = 0$  in  $\mathbb{C}[t, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-1}]$ .

*Proof.* Since  $i \notin \mathcal{A}$  by assumption, Lemma 3.3 implies that

$$m_{i,\mathcal{A}} = p_i \cdot p_{\mathcal{A}} - p_i(w_{\mathcal{A}}) \cdot p_{\mathcal{A}} - \sum_{\mathcal{A} \subsetneq \mathcal{B} \text{ and } |\mathcal{B}|=|\mathcal{A}|+1} c_{i,\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}} \cdot p_{\mathcal{B}}$$

simplifies to

$$(3.13) \quad m_{i,\mathcal{A}} = p_i \cdot p_{\mathcal{A}} - c_{i,\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{A} \cup \{i\}} \cdot p_{\mathcal{A} \cup \{i\}}.$$

Thus in order to compute the corresponding  $q_{i,\mathcal{A}}$  it remains to compute  $c_{i,\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{A} \cup \{i\}}$  and apply the Giambelli formula.

Let  $\mathcal{A} = [a_1, a_2] \cup [a_3, a_4] \cup \dots \cup [a_{m-1}, a_m]$  be the decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}$  into maximal consecutive substrings. Consider the decomposition of  $\mathcal{A} \cup \{i\}$  into maximal consecutive substrings. There are several cases to consider:

- (1) the singleton set  $\{i\}$  is a maximal consecutive substring of  $\mathcal{A} \cup \{i\}$ , i.e.  $i-1 \notin \mathcal{A}$  and  $i+1 \notin \mathcal{A}$ ,
- (2) the inclusion of  $i$  extends a maximal consecutive substring to its right by 1 element, i.e., there exists a maximal consecutive string  $[a_\ell, a_{\ell+1}] \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  such that  $i = a_{\ell+1} + 1$  and that  $[a_\ell, i]$  is a maximal consecutive substring of  $\mathcal{A} \cup \{i\}$ ,
- (3) the inclusion of  $i$  extends a maximal consecutive substring to its left by 1 element, i.e., there exists a maximal consecutive string  $[a_\ell, a_{\ell+1}] \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  such that  $i = a_\ell - 1$  and that  $[i, a_{\ell+1}]$  is a maximal consecutive substring of  $\mathcal{A} \cup \{i\}$ , or

- (4) the inclusion of  $i$  glues together two maximal consecutive substrings of  $\mathcal{A}$ , i.e., there exist two maximal consecutive substrings  $[a_\ell, a_{\ell+1}]$ ,  $[a_{\ell+2}, a_{\ell+3}]$  such that  $i = a_{\ell+1} + 1 = a_{\ell+2} - 1$  and hence  $[a_\ell, a_{\ell+3}] = [a_\ell, a_{\ell+1}] \cup \{i\} \cup [a_{\ell+2}, a_{\ell+3}]$  is a maximal consecutive substring of  $\mathcal{A} \cup \{i\}$ .

We consider each case separately.

Case (1): Suppose  $\{i\}$  is a maximal consecutive substring in  $\mathcal{A} \cup \{i\}$ . In this case, the coefficient  $c_{i,\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{A} \cup \{i\}}$  is 1. Hence we have

$$m_{i,\mathcal{A}} = p_i p_{\mathcal{A}} - p_{v_{\mathcal{A} \cup \{i\}}}.$$

Since  $\{i\}$  is a maximal consecutive substring in  $\mathcal{A} \cup \{i\}$ , we have  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \sigma(\mathcal{A} \cup \{i\})$ . We conclude

$$q_{i,\mathcal{A}} = p_i \cdot \left( \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \cdot \left( \prod_{j \in \mathcal{A}} p_j \right) \right) - \sigma(\mathcal{A} \cup \{i\}) \cdot \left( \prod_{j \in \mathcal{A} \cup \{i\}} p_j \right) = 0$$

as desired.

Cases (2) and (3) are very similar, so we only present the argument for case (2). Suppose  $i$  extends a maximal consecutive substring  $[a_\ell, a_{\ell+1}]$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  to its right. Then

$$m_{i,\mathcal{A}} = p_i \cdot p_{\mathcal{A}} - (i - a_\ell + 1) p_{v_{\mathcal{A} \cup \{i\}}}$$

since  $k = i = \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}(i)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(i) = a_\ell$  so  $c_{i,\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{A} \cup \{i\}} = i - a_\ell + 1$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned} q_{i,\mathcal{A}} &= p_i \left( \left( \prod_{1 \leq s \leq m-1, s \text{ odd}} \frac{1}{(a_{s+1} - a_s + 1)!} \right) \cdot \left( \prod_{j \in \mathcal{A}} p_j \right) \right) \\ &\quad - (i - a_\ell + 1) \cdot \left( \prod_{1 \leq s \leq m-1, s \text{ odd and } s \neq \ell} \frac{1}{(a_{s+1} - a_s + 1)!} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{1}{(i - a_\ell + 1)!} \right) \cdot \left( \prod_{j \in \mathcal{A} \cup \{i\}} p_j \right) \end{aligned}$$

where one of the factors in the product in the second expression has changed because the maximal consecutive string  $[a_\ell, a_{\ell+1}]$  has been extended in  $\mathcal{A} \cup \{i\}$ . Since

$$(i - a_\ell + 1) \left( \frac{1}{(i - a_\ell + 1)!} \right) = \frac{1}{(a_{\ell+1} - a_\ell + 1)!}$$

by assumption on  $i$ , we conclude  $q_{i,\mathcal{A}} = 0$  as desired.

Finally, consider the case (4) in which the inclusion of  $i$  glues together two maximal consecutive substrings  $[a_\ell, a_{\ell+1}]$ ,  $[a_{\ell+2}, a_{\ell+3}]$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . In this case,  $k = i$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}(i) = a_{\ell+3}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(i) = a_\ell$ . Hence the coefficient  $c_{i,\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{A} \cup \{i\}}$  is

$$c_{i,\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{A} \cup \{i\}} = (a_{\ell+3} - i + 1) \binom{a_{\ell+3} - a_\ell + 1}{i - a_\ell} = \frac{(a_{\ell+3} - a_\ell + 1)!}{(i - a_\ell)!(a_{\ell+3} - i)!}.$$

The expansion of  $p_i \cdot p_{\mathcal{A}}$  is the same as in the previous cases. The term corresponding to  $c_{i,\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{A} \cup \{i\}} \cdot p_{\mathcal{A} \cup \{i\}}$  is

$$\frac{(a_{\ell+3} - a_\ell + 1)!}{(i - a_\ell)!(a_{\ell+3} - i)!} \cdot \left( \prod_{1 \leq s \leq m-1, s \text{ odd and } s \neq \ell, \ell+2} \frac{1}{(a_{s+1} - a_s + 1)!} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{1}{(a_{\ell+3} - a_\ell + 1)!} \right) \cdot \left( \prod_{j \in \mathcal{A} \cup \{i\}} p_j \right).$$

Since by assumption on  $i$  we have  $i = a_{\ell+1} + 1 = a_{\ell+2} - 1$ , we obtain the simplification

$$\begin{aligned} (3.14) \quad & \frac{(a_{\ell+3} - a_\ell + 1)!}{(i - a_\ell)!(a_{\ell+3} - i)!} \left( \frac{1}{(a_{\ell+3} - a_\ell + 1)!} \right) = \frac{1}{(i - a_\ell)!(a_{\ell+3} - i)!} \\ &= \frac{1}{(a_{\ell+1} - a_\ell + 1)!} \cdot \frac{1}{(a_{\ell+3} - a_{\ell+2} + 1)!} \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that  $q_{i,\mathcal{A}} = 0$  also in this case. The result follows.  $\square$

**Example 3.10.** Let  $n = 5$ ,  $i = 4$  and let  $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2\}$ . Consider

$$m_{4,\{1,2\}} = p_4 \cdot p_{v_{\{1,2\}}} - c_{4,\{1,2\}}^{\{1,2,4\}} \cdot p_{v_{\{1,2,4\}}}.$$



From (3.3) it follows that  $c_{4,\{1,2\}}^{\{1,2,4\}} = 1$ . The corresponding  $q_{4,\{1,2\}}$  can be computed to be

$$q_{4,\{1,2\}} = p_4 \left( \frac{1}{2!} p_1 p_2 \right) - \left( \frac{1}{2!} p_1 p_2 \right) p_4 = 0.$$

We may now prove Theorem 3.8.

*Proof of Theorem 3.8.* By Theorem 3.6 we know

$$H_{S^1}^*(Y) \cong \mathbb{C}[t, \{p_{\mathcal{A}}\}_{\mathcal{A} \subseteq \{1,2,\dots,n-1\}}] / \mathcal{J}$$

where  $\mathcal{J}$  is the ideal generated by the relations (3.2) so we wish to prove

$$\mathbb{C}[t, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}] / \mathcal{K} \cong \mathbb{C}[t, \{p_{\mathcal{A}}\}_{\mathcal{A} \subseteq \{1,2,\dots,n-1\}}] / \mathcal{J}.$$

The content of the Giambelli formula (Theorem 3.2) is that the expressions

$$p_{\mathcal{A}} - \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \prod_{j \in \mathcal{A}} p_j$$

are elements of  $\mathcal{J}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} &= \left\langle m_{i,\mathcal{A}} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \mathcal{A} \subseteq \{1,2,\dots,n-1\} \right\rangle + \left\langle p_{\mathcal{A}} - \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \prod_{j \in \mathcal{A}} p_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \mathcal{A} \subseteq \{1,2,\dots,n-1\} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle q_{i,\mathcal{A}} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \mathcal{A} \subseteq \{1,2,\dots,n-1\} \right\rangle + \left\langle p_{\mathcal{A}} - \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \prod_{j \in \mathcal{A}} p_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \mathcal{A} \subseteq \{1,2,\dots,n-1\} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We therefore have

$$\frac{\mathbb{C}[t, \{p_{\mathcal{A}}\}_{\mathcal{A} \subseteq \{1,2,\dots,n-1\}}]}{\mathcal{J}} \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[t, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}]}{\left\langle q_{i,\mathcal{A}} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \mathcal{A} \subseteq \{1,2,\dots,n-1\} \right\rangle}$$

but since  $q_{i,\mathcal{A}} = 0$  if  $i \notin \mathcal{A}$  by Lemma 3.9 we conclude

$$\left\langle q_{i,\mathcal{A}} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \mathcal{A} \subseteq \{1,2,\dots,n-1\} \right\rangle = \left\langle q_{i,\mathcal{A}} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \mathcal{A} \subseteq \{1,2,\dots,n-1\} \text{ and } i \in \mathcal{A} \right\rangle$$

from which the result follows.  $\square$

We illustrate the theorem by an example.

**Example 3.11.** Let  $n = 4$  and  $Y$  the Peterson variety in  $\text{Flags}(\mathbb{C}^4)$ . Then the degree-2 multiplicative generators are  $p_1, p_2$ , and  $p_3$ . Then the statement of Theorem 3.8 yields a presentation of the equivariant cohomology ring of  $Y$  as

$$H_{S^1}^*(Y) \cong \mathbb{C}[t, p_1, p_2, p_3] / \mathcal{K}$$

where  $\mathcal{K}$  is the ideal generated by the following 12 elements:

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2p_1^2 - 2tp_1 - p_1p_2, \\
& 2p_2^2 - 2tp_2 - p_1p_2 - p_2p_3, \\
& 2p_3^2 - 2tp_3 - p_2p_3, \\
& 3p_1^2p_2 - 6tp_1p_2 - p_1p_2p_3, \\
& 3p_1p_2^2 - 6tp_1p_2 - 2p_1p_2p_3, \\
& 2p_1^2p_3 - 2tp_1p_3 - p_1p_2p_3, \\
& 2p_1p_3^2 - 2tp_1p_3 - p_1p_2p_3, \\
& 3p_2^2p_3 - 6tp_2p_3 - 2p_1p_2p_3, \\
& 3p_2p_3^2 - 6tp_2p_3 - p_1p_2p_3, \\
& p_1^2p_2p_3 - 3tp_1p_2p_3, \\
& p_1p_2^2p_3 - 4tp_1p_2p_3, \\
& p_1p_2p_3^2 - 3tp_1p_2p_3.
\end{aligned}$$

This list is not minimal: for instance, one can immediately see the sixth and seventh expressions in this list are multiples of the first and third ones, so evidently are unnecessary for defining the ideal  $\mathcal{K}$ . In fact, more is true: a Macaulay 2 computation shows that the ideal  $\mathcal{K}$  is in fact generated by just the quadratic relations, i.e. the first three elements in the above list. (We thank the referee for pointing this out.) Note the original presentation given in Theorem 3.6 uses 8 generators and 24 relations, so this discussion shows that our presentation indeed gives a simplification of the description of the ring.

**Remark 3.12.** We thank the referee for the following comment. Based on our Giambelli formula, Theorem 3.8, and the example of  $n = 4$  discussed above, it seems natural to conjecture that for any value of  $n$ , the corresponding ideal  $\mathcal{K}$  is generated by just the quadratic relations. Using Macaulay 2, we have verified that the conjecture holds for a range of small values of  $n$ , but we were unable to give a proof for the general case. If the conjecture is true, then it would be a very significant simplification of the presentation of this ring and would lead to many interesting geometric and combinatorial questions.

#### 4. STIRLING NUMBERS OF THE SECOND KIND

In this section we prove that Stirling numbers of the second kind appear in the multiplicative structure of the ring  $H_{S^1}^*(Y)$ . We learned this result from H. Naruse and do not claim originality, though the proof given is our own. The **Stirling number of the second kind**, which we denote  $S(n, k)$ , counts the number of ways to partition a set of  $n$  elements into  $k$  nonempty subsets (see e.g. [9, Section 1.2.6]). For example,  $S(3, 2)$  is the number of ways to put balls labelled 1, 2, and 3 into two identical boxes such that each box contains at least one ball. It is then easily seen that  $S(3, 2) = 3$ . We have the following.

**Theorem 4.1.** Fix  $n$  a positive integer. Let  $Y$  be the Peterson variety in  $\text{Flags}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  equipped with the action of the  $S^1$  in (2.2). For  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ , let  $v_{\mathcal{A}}, p_{\mathcal{A}}$  be as in Theorem 3.6. The following equality holds in  $H_{S^1}^*(Y)$  for any  $k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ :

$$(4.1) \quad p_1^k = \sum_{j=1}^k S(k, j) t^{k-j} p_{v_{[1, j]}}.$$

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $k$ . Consider the base case  $k = 1$ . Then (4.1) becomes the equality

$$p_1 = S(1, 1)p_1.$$

Here  $S(1, 1)$  is the number of ways to put 1 ball into 1 box, so  $S(1, 1) = 1$  and the claim follows.

Now assume that (4.1) holds for  $k$ . We need to show that it also holds for  $k+1$ , i.e.,

$$p_1^{k+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} S(k+1, j) t^{k+1-j} p_{v_{[1, j]}}.$$

By the inductive hypothesis this is equivalent to showing that

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k S(k, i) t^{k-i} p_1 p_{v_{[1, i]}} = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} S(k+1, j) t^{k+1-j} p_{v_{[1, j]}}.$$

We now expand the left hand side using the Monk formula. For each  $i$  it can be computed that

$$p_1 p_{v_{[1, i]}} = i t p_{v_{[1, i]}} + p_{v_{[1, i+1]}}$$

where we have used [7, Lemma 6.4] to compute  $p_1(w_{[1, i]})$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k S(k, i) t^{k-i} p_1 p_{v_{[1, i]}} &= \sum_{i=1}^k S(k, i) t^{k-i} (i t p_{v_{[1, i]}} + p_{v_{[1, i+1]}}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k i S(k, i) t^{k+1-i} p_{v_{[1, i]}} + \sum_{i=1}^k S(k, i) t^{k-i} p_{v_{[1, i+1]}} \\ &= S(k, 1) t^k p_1 + \sum_{i=2}^k i S(k, i) t^{k+1-i} p_{v_{[1, i]}} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} S(k, i) t^{k-i} p_{v_{[1, i+1]}} + S(k, k) p_{v_{[1, k+1]}} \\ &= S(k, 1) t^k p_1 + \sum_{i=2}^k i S(k, i) t^{k+1-i} p_{v_{[1, i]}} + \sum_{i=2}^k S(k, i-1) t^{k+1-i} p_{v_{[1, i]}} + S(k, k) p_{v_{[1, k+1]}} \\ &= S(k+1, 1) t^k p_1 + \sum_{i=2}^k (i S(k, i) + S(k, i-1)) t^{k+1-i} p_{v_{[1, i]}} + S(k+1, k+1) p_{v_{[1, k+1]}} \\ &= S(k+1, 1) t^k p_1 + \sum_{i=2}^k S(k+1, i) t^{k+1-i} p_{v_{[1, i]}} + S(k+1, k+1) p_{v_{[1, k+1]}} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} S(k+1, j) t^{k+1-j} p_{v_{[1, j]}} \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the recurrence relation for Stirling numbers (see e.g. [9])

$$S(k+1, j) = j S(k, j) + S(k, j-1)$$

and the fact that  $S(k, 1) = S(k, k) = S(k+1, 1) = S(k+1, k+1) = 1$  for any  $k$ . The result follows.  $\square$

## 5. STABILITY OF PETERSON SCHUBERT CLASSES

We now observe that the Peterson Schubert classes  $\{p_A\}$  for the Peterson varieties satisfy a stability property for varying  $n$ , similar to that satisfied by the classical equivariant Schubert classes. This is an observation we learned from H. Naruse; we do not claim originality. For this section only, for a fixed positive integer  $n$  we denote by  $Y_n$  the Peterson variety in  $\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n)$ .

Let  $X_{w,n} \subseteq \mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n)$  denote the **Schubert variety** corresponding to  $w \in S_n$  in  $\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . By the standard inclusion of groups  $S_n \hookrightarrow S_{n+1}$ , we may also consider  $w$  to be an element in  $S_{n+1}$ . Furthermore there is a natural  $T^n$ -equivariant inclusion  $\iota_n : \mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$  induced by the inclusion of the coordinate subspace  $\mathbb{C}^n$  into  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . Then with respect to  $\iota_n$  the Schubert variety  $X_{w,n}$  maps isomorphically onto the corresponding Schubert variety  $X_{w,n+1}$ . Since the equivariant Schubert classes are cohomology classes corresponding to the Schubert varieties, this implies that for any  $w \in S_n$  there exists an infinite sequence of Schubert classes  $\{\sigma_{w,m}\}_{m=n}^\infty$  which lift the classes  $\sigma_{w,n} \in H_{T^n}^*(\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n))$ , i.e.,

$$(5.1) \quad \cdots \longrightarrow H_{T^n}^*(\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^{n+2})) \longrightarrow H_{T^n}^*(\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})) \longrightarrow H_{T^n}^*(\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n))$$

$$\cdots \vdash \longrightarrow \sigma_{w,n+2} \vdash \longrightarrow \sigma_{w,n+1} \vdash \longrightarrow \sigma_{w,n}$$

and furthermore for any  $v \in S_n$  and any  $m \geq n$ , the restriction  $\sigma_{w,m}(v)$  is equal to  $\sigma_{w,n}(v)$ . The theorem below asserts that a similar statement holds for Peterson Schubert classes. Observe that the inclusion  $\iota_n : \mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$  mentioned above also induces a natural inclusion  $j_n : Y_n \hookrightarrow Y_{n+1}$  since the principal nilpotent operator on  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  preserves the coordinate subspace  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Moreover, since the central circle subgroup of  $U(n, \mathbb{C})$  acts trivially on  $\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n)$  for any  $n$ , the inclusion  $j_n$  is equivariant with respect to the  $S^1$ -actions on  $Y_n$  and  $Y_{n+1}$  given by the two circle subgroups defined by (2.2) in  $U(n, \mathbb{C})$  and  $U(n+1, \mathbb{C})$  respectively. Thus there is a pullback homomorphism  $j_n^* : H_{S^1}^*(Y_{n+1}) \rightarrow H_{S^1}^*(Y_n)$  analogous to the map  $\iota_n : H_{T^n}^*(\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})) \rightarrow H_{T^n}^*(\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n))$  above. We have the following.

**Theorem 5.1.** *For a positive integer  $n$  let  $Y_n$  denote the Peterson variety in  $\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n)$  equipped with the natural  $S^1$ -action defined by (2.2). For  $w \in S_n$  let  $p_{w,n} \in H_{S^1}^*(Y_n)$  denote the Peterson Schubert class corresponding to  $w$ . Then the natural inclusions  $j_m : Y_m \hookrightarrow Y_{m+1}$  for  $m \geq n$  induce a sequence of homomorphisms  $j_m^* : H_{S^1}^*(Y_{m+1}) \rightarrow H_{S^1}^*(Y_m)$  such that  $j_m^*(p_{w,m+1}) = p_{w,m}$ , i.e., there exists a infinite sequence of Peterson Schubert classes  $\{p_{w,m}\}_{m=n}^\infty$  which lift  $p_{w,n} \in H_{T^n}^*(\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n))$*

$$(5.2) \quad \cdots \longrightarrow H_{S^1}^*(Y_{n+2}) \longrightarrow H_{S^1}^*(Y_{n+1}) \longrightarrow H_{S^1}^*(Y_n)$$

$$\cdots \longmapsto p_{w,n+2} \longmapsto p_{w,n+1} \longmapsto p_{w,n}$$

and furthermore for any  $v \in Y_n^{S^1}$  and any  $m \geq n$ , the restriction  $p_{w,m}(v)$  is equal to  $p_{w,n}(v)$ .

*Proof.* By naturality and the definition of Peterson Schubert classes  $p_{w,n} \in H_{S^1}^*(Y_n)$  as the images of  $\sigma_{w,n}$ , it is immediate that (5.1) can be expanded to a commutative diagram

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & H_{T^n}^*(\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^{n+2})) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{n+1}^*} & H_{T^n}^*(\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})) & \xrightarrow{\iota_n^*} & H_{T^n}^*(\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^n)) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & H_{S^1}^*(Y_{n+2}) & \xrightarrow{j_{n+1}^*} & H_{S^1}^*(Y_{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{j_n^*} & H_{S^1}^*(Y_n) \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are the projection maps  $H_{T^n}^*(\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^m)) \rightarrow H_{S^1}^*(Y_n)$  obtained by the composition of  $H_{T^n}^*(\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^m)) \rightarrow H_{S^1}^*(\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^m))$  with  $H_{S^1}^*(\mathcal{F}lags(\mathbb{C}^m)) \rightarrow H_{S^1}^*(Y_n)$ . In particular, for any  $w \in S_n$  and  $m \geq n$ , the vertical maps send  $\sigma_{w,m}$  to  $p_{w,m}$ . The result follows.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Brion and J. B. Carrell. The equivariant cohomology ring of regular varieties. *Michigan Math. J.*, 52(1):189–203, 2004.
- [2] J. B. Carrell and K. Kaveh. On the equivariant cohomology of subvarieties of a  $B$ -regular variety. *Transform. Groups*, 13(3-4):495–505, 2008.
- [3] F. De Mari, C. Procesi, and M. A. Shayman. Hessenberg varieties. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 332(2):529–534, 1992.
- [4] J. Fulman. Descent identities, Hessenberg varieties, and the Weil conjectures. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 87(2):390–397, 1999.
- [5] W. Fulton. *Young tableaux*, volume 35 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. With applications to representation theory and geometry.
- [6] F. Y. C. Fung. On the topology of components of some Springer fibers and their relation to Kazhdan-Lusztig theory. *Adv. Math.*, 178(2):244–276, 2003.
- [7] M. Harada and J. Tymoczko. A positive Monk formula in the  $S^1$ -equivariant cohomology of type A Peterson varieties, arXiv:0908.3517. To be published in *Proc. London Math. Soc.*
- [8] M. Harada and J. Tymoczko. Poset pinball, GKM-compatible subspaces, and Hessenberg varieties, arXiv:1007.2750.
- [9] D. E. Knuth. *The art of computer programming*. Second edition, 1973. Volume 1: Fundamental algorithms, Addison-Wesley Series in Computer Science and Information Processing.
- [10] B. Kostant. Flag manifold quantum cohomology, the Toda lattice, and the representation with highest weight  $\rho$ . *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 2(1):43–91, 1996.
- [11] K. Rietsch. Totally positive Toeplitz matrices and quantum cohomology of partial flag varieties. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16(2):363–392 (electronic), 2003.
- [12] N. Shimomura. The fixed point subvarieties of unipotent transformations on the flag varieties. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 37(3):537–556, 1985.
- [13] E. Sommers and J. Tymoczko. Exponents for  $B$ -stable ideals. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 358(8):3493–3509 (electronic), 2006.
- [14] N. Spaltenstein. The fixed point set of a unipotent transformation on the flag manifold. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A* 79=*Indag. Math.*, 38(5):452–456, 1976.
- [15] J. S. Tymoczko. Linear conditions imposed on flag varieties. *Amer. J. Math.*, 128(6):1587–1604, 2006.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS, CENTRE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, WILBERFORCE ROAD, CAMBRIDGE CB3 0WA, UNITED KINGDOM

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MCMASTER UNIVERSITY, 1280 MAIN STREET WEST, HAMILTON, ONTARIO L8S4K1, CANADA

*E-mail address:* Megumi.Harada@math.mcmaster.ca